

Weather Indications—Cloudy.  
**MRS. HALLIDAY TELLS NELLIE BLY THE STORY OF THE MIDDLETON TRIPLE MURDER.**  
**SUNDAY WORLD.**

PRICE ONE CENT.

**DURING SEPTEMBER THE WORLD PUBLISHED**

**EXTRA. 2 O'CLOCK.**

**HOPING FOR ACTION.**

**Senators Have an Air of Anticipation for To-Day.**

**A Question of Dates Giving the Compromise Men Trouble.**

**Dubois Thinks the Silverites Have Reason to Be Pleased.**

WASHINGTON, Oct. 20.—There was a perceptible increase of hopeful anticipation round the Senate Chamber this morning that the repeated conferences might suggest means of breaking the Senate deadlock and permitting action of some kind to be taken on the pending silver bill.

Yesterday's two-hour informal consultation between the Senate Steering Committee and Secretary Carlisle, was followed, it is said, by a further informal consultation out at Woodley, Mr. Cleveland's country house, between the President, the Secretary of the Treasury and Secretaries Cushman and Lammont.

When the Senate Committee and Mr. Carlisle again informally met together, as it is expected they will do some time today, it is hoped a proposition may be evolved which will command sufficient support to secure its passage.

**REPEAL AT WHAT DATE?**

Statements originating from divergent sources agree that the basis of all the compromise propositions now on foot is the repeal of the Silver Purchase clause at some future date. Jan. 1, 1895; July 1, 1896, and July 1, 1898 (the last as mentioned in Senator Quay's proposed amendment offered in the Senate yesterday), have all been more or less publicly discussed.

The latest suggested date is July 1, 1894—the beginning of the new fiscal year, and it is intimated that a measure to stop silver purchases at that time, if passed by House and Senate would not meet with an Executive veto.

There are other suggestions going with it as to continuing the authority to the Secretary of the Treasury to issue bonds when necessary to maintain the gold reserve, but at a lower rate of interest than that prescribed in the specie resumption act, the withdrawal of small notes from circulation, etc., etc.

All these details will have to be very nicely adjusted before the question can be sprung upon the Senate in any shape likely to meet with success, and then the question will once more recur—"Can a vote be obtained on the proposition, and how?"

**HOW TO GET A VOTE.**

Too the matter, in the opinion of many, reverts to that other question, which has been agitated in the Senate for several days under the leadership of Mr. Hill, as to whether a method can be adopted by which the Senate will bind itself to take a vote on any given proposition or any number of propositions at a stated time.

There are those who think that this, after all, is the only way out of the difficulty, and that this plan, if it ever should be put in line for adoption, could be made available for one proposition as for another. All that is wanted is a majority behind it, and, of course, the concurrence of the presiding officer to put it into effect.

Senator Hill, it was stated last night, had secured assurances from more than thirty Senators that they would support the Vice-President in putting a resolution to a vote setting a time for final action on the pending bill and its amendments.

His desire was to obtain a clear majority of the Senate and then to ask Vice-President Stevenson to act upon it, secure of having his ruling sustained. The Vice-President has made no outward sign of his intentions in advance of the question being presented to him. Senator Dubois, of Idaho, one of the most aggressive of the silver leaders, being asked how he viewed the situation, said, as he understood it, the plan was to continue the purchasing clause of the Sherman act to Jan. 1, 1896.

The Southern Senators, he believed, would favor this, and the Western Senators, though they would not vote for it, would not filibuster against it, but would let it go through, and he believed there would be a clear majority in favor of it.

**VOORHEES' CLOSURE S LUTION**

**Introduced To-Day and Practically Live That of Mr. Hill's.**

WASHINGTON, Oct. 20.—It required twenty minutes to secure the attendance of a quorum in the Senate this morning.

Mr. Voorhees then gave notice that after a short time devoted to morning business he should request executive session.

After going into executive session at 10:30 a closure resolution was introduced by Mr. Voorhees and went over till to-

Weather Indications—Cloudy.  
**MRS. HALLIDAY TELLS NELLIE BLY THE STORY OF THE MIDDLETON TRIPLE MURDER.**  
**SUNDAY WORLD.**

PRICE ONE CENT.

**DURING SEPTEMBER THE WORLD PUBLISHED**

**EXTRA. 2 O'CLOCK.**

**HOPING FOR ACTION.**

**Senators Have an Air of Anticipation for To-Day.**

**A Question of Dates Giving the Compromise Men Trouble.**

**Dubois Thinks the Silverites Have Reason to Be Pleased.**

WASHINGTON, Oct. 20.—There was a perceptible increase of hopeful anticipation round the Senate Chamber this morning that the repeated conferences might suggest means of breaking the Senate deadlock and permitting action of some kind to be taken on the pending silver bill.

Yesterday's two-hour informal consultation between the Senate Steering Committee and Secretary Carlisle, was followed, it is said, by a further informal consultation out at Woodley, Mr. Cleveland's country house, between the President, the Secretary of the Treasury and Secretaries Cushman and Lammont.

When the Senate Committee and Mr. Carlisle again informally met together, as it is expected they will do some time today, it is hoped a proposition may be evolved which will command sufficient support to secure its passage.

**REPEAL AT WHAT DATE?**

Statements originating from divergent sources agree that the basis of all the compromise propositions now on foot is the repeal of the Silver Purchase clause at some future date. Jan. 1, 1895; July 1, 1896, and July 1, 1898 (the last as mentioned in Senator Quay's proposed amendment offered in the Senate yesterday), have all been more or less publicly discussed.

The latest suggested date is July 1, 1894—the beginning of the new fiscal year, and it is intimated that a measure to stop silver purchases at that time, if passed by House and Senate would not meet with an Executive veto.

There are other suggestions going with it as to continuing the authority to the Secretary of the Treasury to issue bonds when necessary to maintain the gold reserve, but at a lower rate of interest than that prescribed in the specie resumption act, the withdrawal of small notes from circulation, etc., etc.

All these details will have to be very nicely adjusted before the question can be sprung upon the Senate in any shape likely to meet with success, and then the question will once more recur—"Can a vote be obtained on the proposition, and how?"

**HOW TO GET A VOTE.**

Too the matter, in the opinion of many, reverts to that other question, which has been agitated in the Senate for several days under the leadership of Mr. Hill, as to whether a method can be adopted by which the Senate will bind itself to take a vote on any given proposition or any number of propositions at a stated time.

There are those who think that this, after all, is the only way out of the difficulty, and that this plan, if it ever should be put in line for adoption, could be made available for one proposition as for another. All that is wanted is a majority behind it, and, of course, the concurrence of the presiding officer to put it into effect.

Senator Hill, it was stated last night, had secured assurances from more than thirty Senators that they would support the Vice-President in putting a resolution to a vote setting a time for final action on the pending bill and its amendments.

His desire was to obtain a clear majority of the Senate and then to ask Vice-President Stevenson to act upon it, secure of having his ruling sustained. The Vice-President has made no outward sign of his intentions in advance of the question being presented to him. Senator Dubois, of Idaho, one of the most aggressive of the silver leaders, being asked how he viewed the situation, said, as he understood it, the plan was to continue the purchasing clause of the Sherman act to Jan. 1, 1896.

The Southern Senators, he believed, would favor this, and the Western Senators, though they would not vote for it, would not filibuster against it, but would let it go through, and he believed there would be a clear majority in favor of it.

**VOORHEES' CLOSURE S LUTION**

**Introduced To-Day and Practically Live That of Mr. Hill's.**

WASHINGTON, Oct. 20.—It required twenty minutes to secure the attendance of a quorum in the Senate this morning.

Mr. Voorhees then gave notice that after a short time devoted to morning business he should request executive session.

After going into executive session at 10:30 a closure resolution was introduced by Mr. Voorhees and went over till to-

Weather Indications—Cloudy.  
**MRS. HALLIDAY TELLS NELLIE BLY THE STORY OF THE MIDDLETON TRIPLE MURDER.**  
**SUNDAY WORLD.**

PRICE ONE CENT.

**DURING SEPTEMBER THE WORLD PUBLISHED**

**EXTRA. 2 O'CLOCK.**

**HOPING FOR ACTION.**

**Senators Have an Air of Anticipation for To-Day.**

**A Question of Dates Giving the Compromise Men Trouble.**

**Dubois Thinks the Silverites Have Reason to Be Pleased.**

WASHINGTON, Oct. 20.—There was a perceptible increase of hopeful anticipation round the Senate Chamber this morning that the repeated conferences might suggest means of breaking the Senate deadlock and permitting action of some kind to be taken on the pending silver bill.

Yesterday's two-hour informal consultation between the Senate Steering Committee and Secretary Carlisle, was followed, it is said, by a further informal consultation out at Woodley, Mr. Cleveland's country house, between the President, the Secretary of the Treasury and Secretaries Cushman and Lammont.

When the Senate Committee and Mr. Carlisle again informally met together, as it is expected they will do some time today, it is hoped a proposition may be evolved which will command sufficient support to secure its passage.

**REPEAL AT WHAT DATE?**

Statements originating from divergent sources agree that the basis of all the compromise propositions now on foot is the repeal of the Silver Purchase clause at some future date. Jan. 1, 1895; July 1, 1896, and July 1, 1898 (the last as mentioned in Senator Quay's proposed amendment offered in the Senate yesterday), have all been more or less publicly discussed.

The latest suggested date is July 1, 1894—the beginning of the new fiscal year, and it is intimated that a measure to stop silver purchases at that time, if passed by House and Senate would not meet with an Executive veto.

There are other suggestions going with it as to continuing the authority to the Secretary of the Treasury to issue bonds when necessary to maintain the gold reserve, but at a lower rate of interest than that prescribed in the specie resumption act, the withdrawal of small notes from circulation, etc., etc.

All these details will have to be very nicely adjusted before the question can be sprung upon the Senate in any shape likely to meet with success, and then the question will once more recur—"Can a vote be obtained on the proposition, and how?"

**HOW TO GET A VOTE.**

Too the matter, in the opinion of many, reverts to that other question, which has been agitated in the Senate for several days under the leadership of Mr. Hill, as to whether a method can be adopted by which the Senate will bind itself to take a vote on any given proposition or any number of propositions at a stated time.

There are those who think that this, after all, is the only way out of the difficulty, and that this plan, if it ever should be put in line for adoption, could be made available for one proposition as for another. All that is wanted is a majority behind it, and, of course, the concurrence of the presiding officer to put it into effect.

Senator Hill, it was stated last night, had secured assurances from more than thirty Senators that they would support the Vice-President in putting a resolution to a vote setting a time for final action on the pending bill and its amendments.

His desire was to obtain a clear majority of the Senate and then to ask Vice-President Stevenson to act upon it, secure of having his ruling sustained. The Vice-President has made no outward sign of his intentions in advance of the question being presented to him. Senator Dubois, of Idaho, one of the most aggressive of the silver leaders, being asked how he viewed the situation, said, as he understood it, the plan was to continue the purchasing clause of the Sherman act to Jan. 1, 1896.

The Southern Senators, he believed, would favor this, and the Western Senators, though they would not vote for it, would not filibuster against it, but would let it go through, and he believed there would be a clear majority in favor of it.

**VOORHEES' CLOSURE S LUTION**

**Introduced To-Day and Practically Live That of Mr. Hill's.**

WASHINGTON, Oct. 20.—It required twenty minutes to secure the attendance of a quorum in the Senate this morning.

Mr. Voorhees then gave notice that after a short time devoted to morning business he should request executive session.

After going into executive session at 10:30 a closure resolution was introduced by Mr. Voorhees and went over till to-

Weather Indications—Cloudy.  
**MRS. HALLIDAY TELLS NELLIE BLY THE STORY OF THE MIDDLETON TRIPLE MURDER.**  
**SUNDAY WORLD.**

PRICE ONE CENT.

**DURING SEPTEMBER THE WORLD PUBLISHED**

**EXTRA. 2 O'CLOCK.**

**HOPING FOR ACTION.**

**Senators Have an Air of Anticipation for To-Day.**

**A Question of Dates Giving the Compromise Men Trouble.**

**Dubois Thinks the Silverites Have Reason to Be Pleased.**

WASHINGTON, Oct. 20.—There was a perceptible increase of hopeful anticipation round the Senate Chamber this morning that the repeated conferences might suggest means of breaking the Senate deadlock and permitting action of some kind to be taken on the pending silver bill.

Yesterday's two-hour informal consultation between the Senate Steering Committee and Secretary Carlisle, was followed, it is said, by a further informal consultation out at Woodley, Mr. Cleveland's country house, between the President, the Secretary of the Treasury and Secretaries Cushman and Lammont.

When the Senate Committee and Mr. Carlisle again informally met together, as it is expected they will do some time today, it is hoped a proposition may be evolved which will command sufficient support to secure its passage.

**REPEAL AT WHAT DATE?**

Statements originating from divergent sources agree that the basis of all the compromise propositions now on foot is the repeal of the Silver Purchase clause at some future date. Jan. 1, 1895; July 1, 1896, and July 1, 1898 (the last as mentioned in Senator Quay's proposed amendment offered in the Senate yesterday), have all been more or less publicly discussed.

The latest suggested date is July 1, 1894—the beginning of the new fiscal year, and it is intimated that a measure to stop silver purchases at that time, if passed by House and Senate would not meet with an Executive veto.

There are other suggestions going with it as to continuing the authority to the Secretary of the Treasury to issue bonds when necessary to maintain the gold reserve, but at a lower rate of interest than that prescribed in the specie resumption act, the withdrawal of small notes from circulation, etc., etc.

All these details will have to be very nicely adjusted before the question can be sprung upon the Senate in any shape likely to meet with success, and then the question will once more recur—"Can a vote be obtained on the proposition, and how?"

**HOW TO GET A VOTE.**

Too the matter, in the opinion of many, reverts to that other question, which has been agitated in the Senate for several days under the leadership of Mr. Hill, as to whether a method can be adopted by which the Senate will bind itself to take a vote on any given proposition or any number of propositions at a stated time.

There are those who think that this, after all, is the only way out of the difficulty, and that this plan, if it ever should be put in line for adoption, could be made available for one proposition as for another. All that is wanted is a majority behind it, and, of course, the concurrence of the presiding officer to put it into effect.

Senator Hill, it was stated last night, had secured assurances from more than thirty Senators that they would support the Vice-President in putting a resolution to a vote setting a time for final action on the pending bill and its amendments.

His desire was to obtain a clear majority of the Senate and then to ask Vice-President Stevenson to act upon it, secure of having his ruling sustained. The Vice-President has made no outward sign of his intentions in advance of the question being presented to him. Senator Dubois, of Idaho, one of the most aggressive of the silver leaders, being asked how he viewed the situation, said, as he understood it, the plan was to continue the purchasing clause of the Sherman act to Jan. 1, 1896.

The Southern Senators, he believed, would favor this, and the Western Senators, though they would not vote for it, would not filibuster against it, but would let it go through, and he believed there would be a clear majority in favor of it.

**VOORHEES' CLOSURE S LUTION**

**Introduced To-Day and Practically Live That of Mr. Hill's.**

WASHINGTON, Oct. 20.—It required twenty minutes to secure the attendance of a quorum in the Senate this morning.

Mr. Voorhees then gave notice that after a short time devoted to morning business he should request executive session.

After going into executive session at 10:30 a closure resolution was introduced by Mr. Voorhees and went over till to-

Weather Indications—Cloudy.  
**MRS. HALLIDAY TELLS NELLIE BLY THE STORY OF THE MIDDLETON TRIPLE MURDER.**  
**SUNDAY WORLD.**

PRICE ONE CENT.

**DURING SEPTEMBER THE WORLD PUBLISHED**

**EXTRA. 2 O'CLOCK.**

**HOPING FOR ACTION.**

**Senators Have an Air of Anticipation for To-Day.**

**A Question of Dates Giving the Compromise Men Trouble.**

**Dubois Thinks the Silverites Have Reason to Be Pleased.**

WASHINGTON, Oct. 20.—There was a perceptible increase of hopeful anticipation round the Senate Chamber this morning that the repeated conferences might suggest means of breaking the Senate deadlock and permitting action of some kind to be taken on the pending silver bill.

Yesterday's two-hour informal consultation between the Senate Steering Committee and Secretary Carlisle, was followed, it is said, by a further informal consultation out at Woodley, Mr. Cleveland's country house, between the President, the Secretary of the Treasury and Secretaries Cushman and Lammont.

When the Senate Committee and Mr. Carlisle again informally met together, as it is expected they will do some time today, it is hoped a proposition may be evolved which will command sufficient support to secure its passage.

**REPEAL AT WHAT DATE?**

Statements originating from divergent sources agree that the basis of all the compromise propositions now on foot is the repeal of the Silver Purchase clause at some future date. Jan. 1, 1895; July 1, 1896, and July 1, 1898 (the last as mentioned in Senator Quay's proposed amendment offered in the Senate yesterday), have all been more or less publicly discussed.

The latest suggested date is July 1, 1894—the beginning of the new fiscal year, and it is intimated that a measure to stop silver purchases at that time, if passed by House and Senate would not meet with an Executive veto.

There are other suggestions going with it as to continuing the authority to the Secretary of the Treasury to issue bonds when necessary to maintain the gold reserve, but at a lower rate of interest than that prescribed in the specie resumption act, the withdrawal of small notes from circulation, etc., etc.

All these details will have to be very nicely adjusted before the question can be sprung upon the Senate in any shape likely to meet with success, and then the question will once more recur—"Can a vote be obtained on the proposition, and how?"

**HOW TO GET A VOTE.**

Too the matter, in the opinion of many, reverts to that other question, which has been agitated in the Senate for several days under the leadership of Mr. Hill, as to whether a method can be adopted by which the Senate will bind itself to take a vote on any given proposition or any number of propositions at a stated time.

There are those who think that this, after all, is the only way out of the difficulty, and that this plan, if it ever should be put in line for adoption, could be made available for one proposition as for another. All that is wanted is a majority behind it, and, of course, the concurrence of the presiding officer to put it into effect.

Senator Hill, it was stated last night, had secured assurances from more than thirty Senators that they would support the Vice-President in putting a resolution to a vote setting a time for final action on the pending bill and its amendments.

His desire was to obtain a clear majority of the Senate and then to ask Vice-President Stevenson to act upon it, secure of having his ruling sustained. The Vice-President has made no outward sign of his intentions in advance of the question being presented to him. Senator Dubois, of Idaho, one of the most aggressive of the silver leaders, being asked how he viewed the situation, said, as he understood it, the plan was to continue the purchasing clause of the Sherman act to Jan. 1, 1896.

The Southern Senators, he believed, would favor this, and the Western Senators, though they would not vote for it, would not filibuster against it, but would let it go through, and he believed there would be a clear majority in favor of it.

**VOORHEES' CLOSURE S LUTION**

**Introduced To-Day and Practically Live That of Mr. Hill's.**

WASHINGTON, Oct. 20.—It required twenty minutes to secure the attendance of a quorum in the Senate this morning.

Mr. Voorhees then gave notice that after a short time devoted to morning business he should request executive session.

After going into executive session at 10:30 a closure resolution was introduced by Mr. Voorhees and went over till to-

Weather Indications—Cloudy.  
**MRS. HALLIDAY TELLS NELLIE BLY THE STORY OF THE MIDDLETON TRIPLE MURDER.**  
**SUNDAY WORLD.**

PRICE ONE CENT.

**DURING SEPTEMBER THE WORLD PUBLISHED**

**EXTRA. 2 O'CLOCK.**

**HOPING FOR ACTION.**

**Senators Have an Air of Anticipation for To-Day.**

**A Question of Dates Giving the Compromise Men Trouble.**

**Dubois Thinks the Silverites Have Reason to Be Pleased.**

WASHINGTON, Oct. 20.—There was a perceptible increase of hopeful anticipation round the Senate Chamber this morning that the repeated conferences might suggest means of breaking the Senate deadlock and permitting action of some kind to be taken on the pending silver bill.

Yesterday's two-hour informal consultation between the Senate Steering Committee and Secretary Carlisle, was followed, it is said, by a further informal consultation out at Woodley, Mr. Cleveland's country house, between the President, the Secretary of the Treasury and Secretaries Cushman and Lammont.

When the Senate Committee and Mr. Carlisle again informally met together, as it is expected they will do some time today, it is hoped a proposition may be evolved which will command sufficient support to secure its passage.

**REPEAL AT WHAT DATE?**

Statements originating from divergent sources agree that the basis of all the compromise propositions now on foot is the repeal of the Silver Purchase clause at some future date. Jan. 1, 1895; July 1, 1896, and July 1, 1898 (the last as mentioned in Senator Quay's proposed amendment offered in the Senate yesterday), have all been more or less publicly discussed.

The latest suggested date is July 1, 1894—the beginning of the new fiscal year, and it is intimated that a measure to stop silver purchases at that time, if passed by House and Senate would not meet with an Executive veto.

There are other suggestions going with it as to continuing the authority to the Secretary of the Treasury to issue bonds when necessary to maintain the gold reserve, but at a lower rate of interest than that prescribed in the specie resumption act, the withdrawal of small notes from circulation, etc., etc.

All these details will have to be very nicely adjusted before the question can be sprung upon the Senate in any shape likely to meet with success, and then the question will once more recur—"Can a vote be obtained on the proposition, and how?"

**HOW TO GET A VOTE.**

Too the matter, in the opinion of many, reverts to that other question, which has been agitated in the Senate for several days under the leadership of Mr. Hill, as to whether a method can be adopted by which the Senate will bind itself to take a vote on any given proposition or any number of propositions at a stated time.

There are those who think that this, after all, is the only way out of the difficulty, and that this plan, if it ever should be put in line for adoption, could be made available for one proposition as for another. All that is wanted is a majority behind it, and, of course, the concurrence of the presiding officer to put it into effect.

Senator Hill, it was stated last night, had secured assurances from more than thirty Senators that they would support the Vice-President in putting a resolution to a vote setting a time for final action on the pending bill and its amendments.

His desire was to obtain a clear majority of the Senate and then to ask Vice-President Stevenson to act upon it, secure of having his ruling sustained. The Vice-President has made no outward sign of his intentions in advance of the question being presented to him. Senator Dubois, of Idaho, one of the most aggressive of the silver leaders, being asked how he viewed the situation, said, as he understood it, the plan was to continue the purchasing clause of the Sherman act to Jan. 1, 1896.

The Southern Senators, he believed, would favor this, and the Western Senators, though they would not vote for it, would not filibuster against it, but would let it go through, and he believed there would be a clear majority in favor of it.

**VOORHEES' CLOSURE S LUTION**

**Introduced To-Day and Practically Live That of Mr. Hill's.**

WASHINGTON, Oct. 20.—It required twenty minutes to secure the attendance of a quorum in the Senate this morning.

Mr. Voorhees then gave notice that after a short time devoted to morning business he should request executive session.

After going into executive session at 10:30 a closure resolution was introduced by Mr. Voorhees and went over till to-

**FOUGHT OVER A GIRL. HERE'S A HAPPY GIRL.**

**With Knife and Pistol Two Men Battle in a Room.**

**Louis Martin Badly Wounded by Bullet and Blade.**

**Thomas Erickson Has a Big Gash in His Neck.**

Two men fought over a girl in Peter Carlson's saloon, at 14 Atlantic avenue, Brooklyn, this morning. As a result of the fight, one of the combatants is in the hospital in a very critical condition. The other occupies a cell in Raymond street jail, awaiting the result of his victim's injuries.

The men are Louis Martin, twenty-five years old, of 42 Atlantic avenue, Brooklyn, and Thomas Erickson, twenty-three, of 76 Nevins street.

For a long time they have been courted the same girl. Both men say that she is pretty, but refuse to divulge her name. Their rivalry for the young woman's affections caused such a feeling of bitterness that they quarrelled on several former occasions, but never came to blows.

They met again at 1 o'clock this morning in a back room in Carlson's saloon. They renewed the quarrel. The barkeeper heard their angry words. He started back to separate them. As he entered the room Erickson struck Martin in the face with his fist. Martin whipped a sailor's knife out of his pocket. He made a vicious lunge at his opponent. The blade entered Erickson's neck. Erickson then drew a revolver and fired one shot. He followed this up by striking Martin on the head and taking the knife away from him.

Martin struggled to regain possession of the weapon. Erickson jabbed the knife into Martin's chest, back and left hand.

Both men were covered with blood, but they were still fighting when Police-  
man Krumpert, of the Butler street station, arrived and arrested them.

Surgeon Shuckley, who was called to attend the men, found that Martin had been dangerously wounded. He was removed to the City Hospital. The surgeon could not then tell whether the bullet had entered Martin's body, but today they discovered that the ball was lodged in his chest.

Erickson was locked up in the Butler street station. When arraigned before Justice Fiske in the Butler street Court this morning, he pleaded not guilty. He was remanded for examination.

**NEARING THE ABYSS.**

**Daisy Edwell's Crooked Face Has Been Made Straight.**

**A Marvel of Dental Surgery in Roosevelt Hospital.**

**Doctors in Hospital and New York Tug It a Hopeless Case.**

A remarkable surgical and dental operation has just been finished, with gratifying success alike to patient and surgeons, at Roosevelt Hospital. "The Evening World," with some difficulty, has obtained exclusively the details of the operation.

The brave girl who underwent the torturing operation is Miss Daisy Edwell, the sixteen-year-old daughter of Mr. and Mrs. B. G. Edwell, of Yonkers City, N. Y. This afternoon in company with her mother, who has been her constant companion and untiring nurse, she left for home. No happier mortals went away from New York City today than did Mrs. Edwell and her daughter. A new and brighter life opens up before the young woman who came to New York last August weighted with affliction that threatened to embitter her entire existence. Thanks to the great advancement in surgery and dentistry and to the skill of the operating surgeons at Roosevelt Hospital the clouds of her younger life are cleared away.

The operation was performed by Dr. Frank Hartley, of 46 East Thirty-fourth street, consulting surgeon at Roosevelt Hospital, and George Howe Winkler, M. D., D. S., Professor of Operative Dentistry at the New York Dental School.

In describing the operation Dr. Winkler said:

"Miss Edwell was presented to me to decide if, by a combined surgical and dental operation, a deformity of her face could be corrected. I found upon inquiry that when the young lady was four and a half years old she lost almost the entire lower jaw on the right side by necrosis due to some unknown cause, probably a blow or a fall which fractured the bone. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"The peristoma was thus left intact. I found upon examination that it had finally filled up with a new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"I determined that if the new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"The peristoma was thus left intact. I found upon examination that it had finally filled up with a new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"I determined that if the new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"The peristoma was thus left intact. I found upon examination that it had finally filled up with a new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"I determined that if the new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"The peristoma was thus left intact. I found upon examination that it had finally filled up with a new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"I determined that if the new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"The peristoma was thus left intact. I found upon examination that it had finally filled up with a new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"I determined that if the new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"The peristoma was thus left intact. I found upon examination that it had finally filled up with a new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"I determined that if the new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"The peristoma was thus left intact. I found upon examination that it had finally filled up with a new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"I determined that if the new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"The peristoma was thus left intact. I found upon examination that it had finally filled up with a new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"I determined that if the new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"The peristoma was thus left intact. I found upon examination that it had finally filled up with a new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"I determined that if the new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"The peristoma was thus left intact. I found upon examination that it had finally filled up with a new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"I determined that if the new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"The peristoma was thus left intact. I found upon examination that it had finally filled up with a new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"I determined that if the new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"The peristoma was thus left intact. I found upon examination that it had finally filled up with a new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"I determined that if the new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half-inch old child's jaw should be. The development of the jaw on the other side of her face was normal, and she had a very little through surgical interference.

"The peristoma was thus left intact. I found upon examination that it had finally filled up with a new growth of bone, which, however, did not grow away from the jaw and a half